Minutes

NORTH PLANNING COMMITTEE

22 January 2014



Meeting held at Committee Room 5 - Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW

	Committee Members Present: Councillors Eddie Lavery (Chairman) John Morgan (Vice-Chairman) Michael Markham Carol Melvin David Yarrow David Yarrow David Allam (Labour Lead) Robin Sansarpuri Brian Stead	
	LBH Officers Present: James Rodger, Head of Planning, Green Space s and Culture Matthew Duigan, Planning Services Manager Syed Shah, Highways Engineer Tim Brown, Legal Advisor Charles Francis, Democratic Services	
149.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (Agenda Item 1)	
	Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Raymond Graham with Councillor Brian Stead acting as substitute.	
150.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING (Agenda Item 2)	
	None.	
151.	MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED IN ADVANCE OR URGENT (Agenda Item 3)	
	None.	
152.	TO CONFIRM THAT THE ITEMS OF BUSINESS MARKED PART 1 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THAT THE ITEMS MARKED PART 2 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE (Agenda Item 4)	
	All items were considered in Part 1.	
153.	ICKENHAM MANOR HOUSE - 32002/APP/2013/2732 (Agenda Item 5)	Action by
	Demolition of 2 garages and the erection of building to accommodate a double garage and studio, adjacent to existing	James Rodger &

barn
Officers introduced the report and provided a joint presentation on applications 32002/APP/2013/2732 and 32002/APP/2013/2733.
Members noted that Ickenham Manor was a large detached grade 1 listed house located within the Ickenham Conservation Area and was located within the Green Belt.
Officers explained that the application sought the demolition of two small concrete construction garages and the erection of a new building that would link onto the side of an existing wooden frame barn to accommodate a double garage and a work studio with its own enclosed outdoor space set to the side (south) of the new building.
Members noted that key considerations included whether or not the application affected the openness or caused harm to the Green Belt. In discussing the application, Members agreed that the application constituted a natural evolution of the site and did not pose a threat to the Green Belt. The unanimous view indicated by Members was that the application did not have a significant impact/harm on the openness of the Green Belt. Legal advice was sought and the Officer confirmed that this was sufficient reason to overturn the Officer recommendation for refusal, as planning officers had previously stated that refusal reasons 2 and 3 could be dealt with by condition.
It was moved, seconded and on being put to the vote that the Officer recommendation was overturned and the application approved subject to the following conditions:
RES3 (time limit)
The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.
REASON: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990
RES4 (plans)
The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out except in complete accordance with the details shown on the submitted plans, numbers:
Existing Site Plan (un-numbered) Proposed Site Plan (un-numbered) Existing & Proposed Floor Plan (un-numbered) Planning, Design, Access & Heritage Statement Proposed Ground Floor Plan (un-numbered) Proposed Elevations (un-numbered) Proposed Roof Plan (un-numbered)
and shall thereafter be retained/maintained for as long as the development remains in existence.
REASON:To ensure the development complies with the provisions of the Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two Saved UDP Policies (November

Matthew Duigan 2012) and the London Plan (July 2011).

RES7 (materials)

No development shall take place until details of all materials and external surfaces, , including details of balconies have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall be constructed in accordance with the approved details and be retained as such.

Details should include information relating to make, product/type, colour and photographs/images.

REASON: To ensure that the development presents a satisfactory appearance in accordance with Policy BE13 Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two Saved UDP Policies (November 2012).

NONSC: (use of outbuilding)

The outbuilding building hereby permitted shall not be used at any time other than for purposes set out in the application. The outbuilding shall not be used for purposes such as a living room, bedroom, kitchen, or as a separate unit of accommodation. This restriction preventing the outbuilding from being used as habitable accommodation shall apply for so long as the out building is in existence.

REASON: To avoid any future undesirable fragmentation of the curtilage or the creation of a separate residential use, so as to protect openess of the Green Belt, the setting of heritage assets in the vicinity of the building and the amenity of adjoining residential properties in accordance with Policies OL1, OL5, BE10 and BE13 of the Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two Saved UDP Policies (November 2012).

NONSC (management plan)

Prior to development commencing, the applicant shall submit a demolition and construction management plan to the Local Planning Authority for its approval. The plan shall detail:

i) A programme to demonstrate that the existing barn to be retained without being unnecessarily damaged or demolished.

ii) The storage of demolition/construction materials on site and parking provisions for contractors during the development process, which shall ensure there is no damage to existing trees or heritage assets.

The approved details shall be implemented and maintained throughout the duration of the demolition and construction process.

REASON: To safeguard the existing trees and heritage assets and the amenity of surrounding areas in accordance with Policy BE38, BE10 and OE1 of the Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two Saved UDP Policies (November 2012).

NONSC (archaeology)

No development shall take place until a scheme of archaeological investigation has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority (who shall consult with GLASS). The scheme shall include:

	A desk-based assessment using existing information to identify the likely effects of the development on the significance of heritage assets, including considering the potential for new discoveries and effects on the setting of nearby assets. Proposals for an archaeological field evaluation, involving exploratory	
	fieldwork to determine if significant remains are present on the site and if so to define their character, extent, quality and preservation. The proposals for the field evaluation shall involve one or more techniques depending on the nature of the site and its archaeological potential, including excavation of trial trenches.	
	Details for how archaeological remains are to be preserved in-situ within a development how this will be achieved. This shall involve details of a design and methods statement for groundworks, including monitoring of their condition and take remedial action in the event of decay.	
	There after the development shall be undertaken in strict accordance with the approved scheme. REASON: To ensure important archeological remains are not destroyed and to accord with policy BE3 of Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two Saved UDP Policies (November 2012).	
	Informatives 152, 153, 147, 199, You are advised that the decision of the Planning Committee was taken having formed the view that the openness of the Green Belt would not be harmed as a result of the proposal and in view of the significant size of the application site and its separation from neighbouring properties.	
	Resolved –	
	That the recommendation for refusal be overturned and the application be unanimously approved for the reasons set out above.	
154.	ICKENHAM MANOR HOUSE - 32002/APP/2013/2733 (Agenda Item 6)	Action by
	Demolition of 2 garages and the erection of building to accommodate a double garage and studio, adjacent to existing barn (Listed Building Consent)	James Rodger & Matthew Duigan
	Officers introduced the report and provided a joint presentation on applications 32002/APP/2013/2732 and 32002/APP/2013/2733.	Ŭ
	Subject to the decision taken in Item 5, Ickenham Manor House, 32002/APP/2013/2732, Members overturned the Officer recommendation for refusal and Approved the application subject to	

the following amendments:

RES3 (time limit)

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

REASON: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990

RES4 (plans)

The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out except in complete accordance with the details shown on the submitted plans, numbers:

Existing Site Plan (un-numbered) Proposed Site Plan (un-numbered) Existing & Proposed Floor Plan (un-numbered) Planning, Design, Access & Heritage Statement Proposed Ground Floor Plan (un-numbered) Proposed Elevations (un-numbered) Proposed Roof Plan (un-numbered)

and shall thereafter be retained/maintained for as long as the development remains in existence.

REASON: To ensure the development complies with the provisions of the Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two Saved UDP Policies (November 2012) and the London Plan (July 2011).

RES7 (materials)

No development shall take place until details of all materials and external surfaces, , including details of balconies have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall be constructed in accordance with the approved details and be retained as such.

Details should include information relating to make, product/type, colour and photographs/images.

REASON: To ensure that the development presents a satisfactory appearance in accordance with Policy BE13 Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two Saved UDP Policies (November 2012).

NONSC (archaeology)

No development shall take place until a scheme of archaeological investigation has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority (who shall consult with GLASS). The scheme shall include:

A desk-based assessment using existing information to identify the likely effects of the development on the significance of heritage assets, including considering the potential for new discoveries and effects on the setting of nearby assets. Proposals for an archaeological field evaluation, involving exploratory fieldwork to determine if significant remains are present on the site and if so to define their character, extent, quality and preservation. The proposals for the field evaluation shall involve one or more techniques depending on the nature of the site and its archaeological potential, including excavation of trial trenches.

Details for how archaeological remains are to be preserved in-situ within a development how this will be achieved. This shall involve details of a design and methods statement for groundworks, including monitoring of their condition and take remedial action in the event of decay.

There after the development shall be undertaken in strict accordancewiththeapprovedscheme.REASON:To ensure important archeological remains are notdestroyed and to accord with policy BE3 of Hillingdon Local Plan:PartTwo Saved UDP Policies (November 2012).

NONSC (management plan)

Prior to development commencing, the applicant shall submit a demolition and construction management plan to the Local Planning Authority for its approval. The plan shall detail:

i) A programme to demonstrate that the existing barn to be retained without being unnecessarily damaged or demolished.

ii) The storage of demolition/construction materials on site and parking provisions for contractors during the development process, which shall ensure there is no damage to existing trees or heritage assets.

The approved details shall be implemented and maintained throughout the duration of the demolition and construction process.

REASON: To safeguard the existing trees and heritage assets and the amenity of surrounding areas in accordance with Policy BE38, BE10 and OE1 of the Hillingdon Local Plan: Part Two Saved UDP Policies (November 2012).

Informatives

152, 153.

147.

Resolved –

That the recommendation for refusal be overturned and the application be unanimously approved for the reasons set out above.

155.	LAND O/S SORTING OFFICE, JUNCTION OF EAST WAY AND	Action by
	PARK WAY - 59076/APP/2013/3635 (Agenda Item 7)	

	Replacement of existing 12.5m high monopole and one radio equipment cabinet with a new 12.5m high monopole with 2 equipment cabinets and ancillary works (Consultation under Schedule 2, Part 24 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995) (as amended)	James Rodger & Matthew Duigan
	Officers introduced the report and provided a presentation.	
	Members were advised that the application sought prior approval to an existing telecommunications site so that 4G could be provided to the area.	
	Members discussed the application and agreed that that the proposal was incongruous and visually obtrusive which would be out of keeping with the street scene and surrounding area.	
	It was moved, seconded and one being put to the vote that the application be unanimously refused.	
	Resolved –	
	That the application be refused, as set out in the Officer report.	
156.	S 106 QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT (Agenda Item 8)	Action by
	Officers introduced the report which set out financial information on s106 and s278 agreements in the North Planning Committee area up to 30 September 2013 where the Council has received and holds funds.	James Rodger & Matthew Duigan
	Resolved –	
	That the report be noted.	
	The meeting, which commenced at 7.07 pm, closed at 7.31 pm.	

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Charles Francis on 01895 556454. Circulation of these minutes is to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.